

TRANSFORMATIONS OF FLAT LAGRANGIAN IMMERSIONS AND EGOROFF NETS

CHUU-LIAN TERNG¹ AND ERXIAO WANG²

ABSTRACT. We associate a natural λ -family ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$) of flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle to any given one. We prove that the structure equations for such immersions admit the same Lax pair as the first order integrable system associated to the symmetric space $\frac{U(n) \times \mathbb{C}^n}{O(n) \times \mathbb{R}^n}$. An interesting observation is that the family degenerates to an Egoroff net on $\mathbb{R}^n$ when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. We construct an action of a rational loop group on such immersions by identifying its generators and computing their dressing actions. The action of the generator with one simple pole gives the geometric Ribaucour transformation and we provide the permutability formula for such transformations. The action of the generator with two poles and the action of a rational loop in the translation subgroup produce new transformations. The corresponding results for flat Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ and ∂ -invariant Egoroff nets follow nicely via a spherical restriction and Hopf fibration.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years techniques of integrable systems have been applied extensively to study submanifolds and geometric nets. In particular, many curved flat systems associated to symmetric space $\frac{U}{K}$ or the gauge equivalent $\frac{U}{K}$ -systems have shown to be the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations for submanifolds with special geometric properties. To move on, let us briefly go over some definitions about geometric nets.

A vector function $x(u)$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ are parameters, is called a *net function* when it is a local diffeomorphism. The u_i parameter lines for all i form a ‘net’ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. When the parameter lines are mutually orthogonal everywhere, $x(u)$ is said to define an *orthogonal* net on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and its inverse function $u(x)$ is called an orthogonal (curvilinear) coordinate system on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, the Euclidean metric written in u is diagonal, i.e., $ds^2 = \sum \|\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_i}\|^2 du_i^2$. This diagonal metric is said to be *Egoroff* when there exists a *potential function* $\phi(u)$ so that $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_i} = \|\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_i}\|^2$; then the net $x(u)$ and the coordinate system $u(x)$ are also called *Egoroff*. For example, the polar coordinate system

¹ Research supported in part by DMS-0529756 and the UCI Advance Chair fund.

²Research supported in part by the Postdoctoral Fellowship of MSRI.

$u_1 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ and $u_2 = \arctan(x_2/x_1)$ is orthogonal while $x_1 = u_1 \cos u_2$ and $x_2 = u_1 \sin u_2$ define an orthogonal net on $\mathbb{R}^2$, but they are not Egoroff.

Ribaucour used sphere congruence to construct transformations for orthogonal coordinate systems in 1872 [11]. The iteration or vectorial extension of Ribaucour transformations was studied and applied to Egoroff nets on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by Liu-Mañas in [8]. Mañas, Alonso, and Medina used dressing methods for multicomponent KP hierarchies in [9, 10] to construct dressing actions for Egoroff orthogonal nets. Dajczer-Tojeiro generalized sphere congruence and Ribaucour transformations to submanifolds in space-forms in a series of papers [4, 5], and they found Ribaucour transformations for flat Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

In this paper we introduce an associated family for any such submanifold which unifies these two geometries, then we generalize both papers [8] and [4] on Ribaucour transformations not only by discovering new types of transformations in closed algebraic formulas, but also by describing the group structure of these transformations. The results of this paper include:

(1) We prove that the equation for flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle is the $\frac{U(n) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^n}{O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n}$ -system, where $U(n) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^n$ is the group of unitary rigid motions of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ is the group of orthogonal rigid motions of $\mathbb{R}^n$. This equation has a Lax pair, whose frame $F(u, \lambda)$ is of the form $F = \begin{pmatrix} E & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We show that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $X(\cdot, \lambda)$ is a flat Lagrangian immersion in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle, while $X(\cdot, 0)$ degenerates to an Egoroff orthogonal net on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This λ -family of immersions share the same induced metric.

(2) Let $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$ denote the group of rational maps $g : S^2 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $g(\bar{\lambda})^* g(\lambda) = I$, $g(-\lambda)^t g(\lambda) = I$, and $g(\infty) = I$. We find all elements in $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$ with one or two simple poles (i.e. g_{is,π_r} and $f_{z,\pi}$ respectively in Theorem 3.6), and prove that they generate $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$.

(3) We use dressing action to construct an action of $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$ on flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ and ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metrics.

(4) We extend the generators of $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$ to $\Lambda_- GL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ and use their dressing actions on the extended frame F to construct transformations of flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle and on the space of flat Egoroff metrics on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We then construct dressing actions of rational elements valued in the translation subgroup on such immersions and metrics. Both produce new type of transformations besides the classical Ribaucour transformations.

(5) Given a flat Lagrangian immersion X that lies in a hypersphere, it has ∂ -invariant Egoroff metric $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2 du_i^2$ and Hopf projection produces flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. We prove that the action in (4) of the extension of g_{is,π_r} on X preserves spherical constraint if and only if

$\pi_r(h(0)) = 0$. However as transformations for such immersions, the method in (3) above produces simpler algorithm.

(6) As a comparison with the above algebraic dressing actions, we also give the analytic dressing actions, i.e., a first order PDE system that generate a new family of flat Lagrangian immersions from a given one. When the rank of π_r is 1, the action of g_{is, π_r} gives the geometric Ribaucour transformation constructed in [4]. The vectorial (or iteration of) Ribaucour transformations in [8] for Egoroff nets are given by the action of products of g_{is_j, π_j} 's.

(7) As a bi-product we identify the group structure of Ribaucour transformations and complex Ribaucour transformations for both flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle and for Egoroff orthogonal nets on $\mathbb{R}^n$ in a unified way.

(8) We solve the Cauchy problems for $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system, flat Egoroff metrics, and flat Lagrangian immersions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the geometry of flat Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ and introduce the Lax pair, extended frames, and associated family. In section 3, we construct generators for $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$, and give explicit formulas for the dressing actions of the generators. In section 4, we construct an action of $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$ on the space of flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ that lie in hyperspheres and the space of ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metrics. In section 5, we compute dressing actions of g_{is, π_r} and of rational elements valued in the translation subgroup on flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle. In the last section we present the permutability theorem and give explicit formulas for the dressing action of the generator $f_{z, \pi}$. The product of n plane curves in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is the simplest type of flat Lagrangian immersion. We give an algorithm to construct explicit flat Lagrangian immersions and flat Egoroff metrics by dressing on these product of plane curves.

2. GEOMETRY OF FLAT LAGRANGIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN $\mathbb{C}^n$ AND $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$

We present the geometry of flat Lagrangian submanifolds (cf. [4, 13]) in a way to introduce the Lax pair, the extended frame, and the associated family of them.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and ω be the standard inner product and symplectic form on $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ respectively, i.e.,

$$\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle := \operatorname{Re}(\bar{Z}_1^t Z_2), \quad \omega(Z_1, Z_2) := \operatorname{Im}(\bar{Z}_1^t Z_2), \quad \forall Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Identifying $Z = X + iY$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, the complex structure J on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ satisfying $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(J \cdot, \cdot)$ is:

$$J \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y \\ X \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then both $U(n)$ and its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ can be identified as the elements of $O(2n)$ and $\mathfrak{o}(2n)$ respectively that commute with J . So

$$\mathfrak{u}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} P & -Q \\ Q & P \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{o}(2n) \mid P \in \mathfrak{o}(n), Q = Q^t \right\}.$$

A real n dimensional submanifold M in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is *Lagrangian* if $\omega|_M = 0$, or equivalently J maps TM onto the normal bundle $T^\perp M$. The normal bundle $T^\perp M$ is *non-degenerate* if the dimension of the space of shape operators at p is equal to $\text{codim}(M)$ for all $p \in M$. We call $U(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ *unitary rigid motion* group, which preserves the metric and the Lagrangian condition. The following theorem is known (cf. [4, 13]). Though the proof is elementary, we give it here to set up notations and to be self-contained.

Theorem 2.1. [4, 13] *If $f : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ is a simply connected flat Lagrangian immersed submanifold with non-degenerate normal bundle, then there exist global line of curvature coordinate $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ on M and a potential function $\phi(u)$ such that the fundamental forms of M are:*

$$\begin{cases} \text{I} = \sum \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_i} du_i^2, \\ \text{II} = \sum du_i^2 \otimes J\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}\right). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Conversely, given $\phi(u)$ such that $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_i} > 0$ and $\sum \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_i} du_i^2$ is flat, the above fundamental forms determine such a flat Lagrangian submanifold uniquely up to unitary rigid motion.

Proof. If $e_1, \dots, e_n$ is any local orthonormal tangent frame on M , then $W = (e_1, \dots, e_n, Je_1, \dots, Je_n)$ is a $U(n)$ -valued moving frame and the flat connection one-form $w := W^{-1} dW$ is $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ -valued, i.e.,

$$w_{ij} = w_{n+i, n+j}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$w_{i, n+j} = w_{j, n+i}, \quad (2.3)$$

and $w_{AB} = -w_{BA}$. The flatness of w , $dw + w \wedge w = 0$, gives the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations for the immersion f , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} dw_{ij} = -\sum_{A=1}^{2n} w_{iA} \wedge w_{Aj}, \\ dw_{i, n+j} = -\sum_{A=1}^{2n} w_{iA} \wedge w_{A, n+j}, \\ dw_{n+i, n+j} = -\sum_{A=1}^{2n} w_{n+i, A} \wedge w_{A, n+j}, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Since the induced metric is flat, by (2.2) the normal bundle is also flat and the Ricci equations become redundant. Recall that a shape operator S_ν on TM is defined by $\langle S_\nu(\cdot), \cdot \rangle = \langle \text{II}(\cdot, \cdot), \nu \rangle$ for any normal field ν . The flatness of the normal bundle implies that all shape operators $\{S_\nu\}$ commute and thus share eigenspaces. The non-degeneracy condition of the normal bundle guarantees n independent eigenvectors as the tangent frame. Let $\{e_i\}$ be the normalized eigenvectors and $\{\theta_i\}$ the dual 1-forms. Then $w_{i, n+j} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and $w_{i, n+i} = c_i \theta_i$ for some smooth functions c_i . The non-degeneracy of the normal bundle implies nonzero principal curvatures

c_i along principal directions e_i . It follows from the Codazzi equations that $d(c_i\theta_i) = 0$. Thus a local line of curvature coordinate u is obtained by $c_i\theta_i = du_i$. Introduce $h_i := 1/c_i$, and write $\theta_i = h_i du_i$ and their dual $e_i = \frac{1}{h_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}$. Then the fundamental forms of M are:

$$\begin{cases} \text{I} = \sum h_i^2 du_i^2, \\ \text{II} = \sum du_i^2 \otimes J(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i}). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the Levi-Civita connection 1-form $w_{ij} = \beta_{ij} du_i - \beta_{ji} du_j$ with $\beta_{ij} = (h_i)_{u_j}/h_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $\beta_{ii} = 0$. In fact the Codazzi equations imply that $\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ji}$. This symmetry is clearly equivalent to the existence of a potential function ϕ making $h_i^2 = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_i}$. So the fundamental forms are given as in (2.1). When M is simply connected, such a line of curvature coordinate u is globally defined. The converse is a direct application of the Fundamental Theorem for submanifolds in Euclidean spaces. $\square$

In the classical literature, β_{ij} are called *rotation coefficients*, and a metric taking the special form $\sum \phi_{u_i} du_i^2$ is called an *Egoroff metric*. We call $\beta = (\beta_{ij})$ the *rotation coefficient matrix* of the metric $\sum h_i^2 du_i^2$. Then using the eigenframe, we can write simply

$$w = W^{-1} dW = \begin{pmatrix} [\delta, \beta] & -\delta \\ \delta & [\delta, \beta] \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \delta = \text{diag}(du_1, \dots, du_n).$$

The flatness of w , i.e., $dw = -w \wedge w$, written in terms of symmetric β is:

$$\begin{cases} (\beta_{ij})_{u_k} = \beta_{ik}\beta_{kj}, & \text{if } i, j, k \text{ are distinct,} \\ (\beta_{ij})_{u_i} + (\beta_{ij})_{u_j} + \sum_k \beta_{ik}\beta_{jk} = 0, & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

or equivalently, the Levi-Civita connection 1-form $[\delta, \beta]$ is flat. These are the *Darboux-Egoroff equations* in the classical literature. It is also the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system, i.e., the first n flows for the reduced n -wave equation in soliton theory ([15]).

Lax pair for the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system

It is known (cf. [13]) and easy to check that β is a solution of (2.4) if and only if

$$w_\lambda = i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta]$$

is flat for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, i.e., equation (2.4) has a *Lax pair*.

The flatness of w_λ implies that there exists a unique $E(u, \lambda)$ satisfying

$$E^{-1} dE = i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta], \quad E(0, \lambda) = I, \quad (2.5)$$

Note that w_λ satisfies the following reality condition:

$$-w_\lambda^* = w_\lambda, \quad -w_\lambda^t = w_{-\lambda}, \quad (2.6)$$

thus its frame E satisfies the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -reality condition,

$$E(u, \bar{\lambda})^* E(u, \lambda) = I, \quad E(u, \lambda)^t E(u, -\lambda) = I. \quad (2.7)$$

In particular, $E(u, \lambda) \in U(n)$ for real λ .

Extended frame, the associated family and $\frac{U(n) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^n}{O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n}$ -system

Identify the immersion f and the eigenframe $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ as a complex column vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as before, and set $g = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$. Then g is a unitary $n \times n$ matrix and

$$\begin{cases} g^{-1} dg = i\delta + [\delta, \beta], \\ df = g\delta h, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

where $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)^t$. Note that (2.8) is solvable for g, f if and only if the symmetric β and h satisfy

$$\begin{cases} [\delta, \beta] \text{ is flat,} \\ (h_i)_{u_j} = \beta_{ij} h_j, \quad i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

The system (2.8) can be also written as

$$k^{-1} dk = \begin{pmatrix} i\delta + [\delta, \beta] & \delta h \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } k = \begin{pmatrix} g & f \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following theorem then follows naturally:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_i} du_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2 du_i^2$ be a flat Egoroff metric, $\beta = (\beta_{ij})$ its rotation coefficient matrix, and set*

$$\theta_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta] & \delta h \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

Then:

- (1) θ_λ is flat for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$;
- (2) There is a unique $F(u, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} E(u, \lambda) & X(u, \lambda) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ solving

$$F^{-1} dF = \theta_\lambda, \quad F(0, \lambda) = I,$$

and F is holomorphic for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$;

- (3) E is the frame for $w_\lambda = i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta]$;
- (4) $X_\lambda = X(\cdot, \lambda)$ is a flat Lagrangian immersion in $\mathbb{C}^n$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\begin{cases} I = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_i} du_i^2, \\ II_\lambda = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n du_i^2 \otimes J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\right), \end{cases}$$

and $X(\cdot, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is an Egoroff net on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Since the family X_λ shares and only depends on the flat Egoroff metric, we call it the *associated family* for the metric or ϕ . The frame F in the above theorem is called the *extended frame*, which motivates us to formulate system (2.9) as the $\frac{U(n) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^n}{O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n}$ -system as follows.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{u}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{G} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b & -c & x \\ c & b & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| b = -b^t, c = c^t, b, c \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}), x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\}.$$

We give two commuting involutions on $\mathcal{G}$ that gives the symmetric space $\frac{\mathrm{U}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^n}{\mathrm{O}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n}$: $\tau(A) = \bar{A}$, and $\sigma(A) = TAT$ with

$$T := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$, the fixed point set of τ is $\mathfrak{u}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, and the fixed point set of σ on $\mathfrak{u}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is $\mathfrak{o}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$. So the corresponding symmetric space is $\frac{\mathrm{U}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}}{\mathrm{O}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n}$. The Cartan decomposition $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{P}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & x \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| b \in \mathfrak{o}(n), x \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}, \\ \mathcal{P} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c & 0 \\ c & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| c^t = c, \bar{c} = c, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{a_j = e_{n+j,j} - e_{j,n+j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ generates a maximal abelian subalgebra in $\mathcal{P}$. The $\frac{U}{K}$ -system (cf. [12]) is the following PDE

$$-[a_i, q_{u_j}] + [a_j, q_{u_i}] + [[a_i, q], [a_j, q]] = 0, \quad i \neq j,$$

where

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta & 0 \\ -\beta & 0 & -h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{P}, \quad \text{with } \beta_{jj} = 0.$$

Or equivalently,

$$\theta_\lambda = \sum (a_j \lambda + [a_j, q]) du_j = \begin{pmatrix} [\delta, \beta] & \lambda \delta & \delta h \\ -\lambda \delta & [\delta, \beta] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is flat for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. This is exactly the Lax pair (2.10) for the equations of the associated family. So we have proved:

Theorem 2.3. *The equation for flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle is the $\frac{\mathrm{U}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^n}{\mathrm{O}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n}$ -system with the Lax pair given by (2.10).*

Flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ that lie in S^{2n-1}

Élie Cartan proved that an n -dimensional flat submanifold can be locally isometrically immersed into S^{2n-1} , but not in any lower dimensional spheres. Moreover, the normal bundle of a flat n -dimensional submanifold of S^{2n-1}

is automatically flat and non-degenerate. Thus when a flat Lagrangian immersion f in $\mathbb{C}^n$ lies in a hypersphere with center c_0 and radius r , there always exists the eigenframe e_i . Since $f - c_0$ is perpendicular to the tangent plane of f , we can write $f = c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i J e_i$ for some smooth functions f_i . Differentiate it and compare with $df = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i du_i \otimes e_i$, we get $f_i = h_i$ and $dh_i + \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ji} h_j = 0$, i.e.,

$$dh + [\delta, \beta]h = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

This implies that $\partial h = 0$, where $\partial = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}$. Note also that $\sum h_i^2 \equiv r^2$. In summary, we have

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2 du_i^2$ be a flat Egoroff metric, and $\beta = (\beta_{ij})$ its rotation coefficient matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\partial h_i = 0$, for all i , where $\partial = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}$.
- (2) $dh + [\delta, \beta]h = 0$.
- (3)

$$\begin{cases} (h_i)_{u_j} = \beta_{ij} h_j, & i \neq j, \\ (h_i)_{u_i} = -\sum \beta_{ij} h_j. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

- (4) $\|h\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2$ is constant.

Such flat Egoroff metric will be called ∂ -invariant or spherical.

In the following Theorem, we give an explicit formula of the associated family for a ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metric:

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose $\sum h_i^2(u) du_i^2$ is a ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metric, β its rotation coefficient matrix, and E the frame for $i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta]$. Then:*

- (1) *The associated family in $\mathbb{C}^n$ for the metric is $(\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$:*

$$X(u, \lambda) = -i\lambda^{-1} (E(u, \lambda)h(u) - h(0)). \quad (2.13)$$

- (2) *$X(u, \lambda)$ lies in a hyper-sphere centered at $-i\lambda^{-1}h(0)$ with radius $\|h(0)\|/|\lambda|$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.*
- (3) *$E(u, 0)h(u) = h(0)$, or equivalently, $h(u) = E(u, 0)^{-1}h(0)$.*
- (4) *$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} X(u, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ exists and is equal to $-i\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda}(u, 0)h(u)$, which gives a ∂ -invariant Egoroff net on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. We can check (1) directly that X satisfies

$$dX = E\delta h, \quad X(0, \lambda) = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Since E is unitary for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\|h\|^2 = \sum h_i^2$ is constant, (2) follows easily. Now (3) is true because if we let $A(u) = E(u, 0)$, then

$$d(Ah) = (dA)h + A dh = A[\delta, \beta]h + A(-[\delta, \beta]h) = 0.$$

So $E(u, 0)h(u) = h(0)$ is a constant vector. Thus $h(u) = E(u, 0)^{-1}h(0)$. Lastly (4) follows from L'Hospital's Rule and the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ reality condition. $\square$

Remark 2.6 (Cauchy problems for flat Lagrangian immersions). Suppose $d_1, \dots, d_n$ are nonzero real constants so that $d_i^2 \neq d_j^2$ for all $i \neq j$. Let V_n denote the space of all real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices with zero diagonal entries. It was proved in [12] that there is an open dense subset $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ of the space of rapidly decaying smooth maps from $\mathbb{R}$ to V_n such that given $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ there exists a unique smooth solution β of the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system with initial data $\beta(d_1 t, \dots, d_n t) = \beta_0(t)$. Specially, smooth maps with compact support or with L^1 norm less than 1 lie in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$.

Given a solution β of the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system (2.4), the following linear system is solvable,

$$(h_i)_{u_j} = \beta_{ij} h_j, \quad i \neq j, \quad (2.15)$$

and the solutions depend on n nonzero smooth functions of one variable $b_i(t)$ specifying the initial conditions: $h_i(0, \dots, u_i, \dots, 0) = b_i(u_i)$ (cf. [13]). So the set of Egoroff metrics with $[\delta, \beta]$ as its Levi-Civita connections is parametrized by n positive functions of one variable. In fact, the larger system (2.12) is still solvable and the solutions depend only on $h(0)$. Hence the set of ∂ -invariant Egoroff metrics with $[\delta, \beta]$ as its Levi-Civita connection is of finite dimension n . They are given by part (3) of Theorem 2.5.

Finally Theorem 2.2 provides the algorithm to produce the associated family $X(\cdot, \lambda)$ from β and h .

Flat Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$

If f is a flat Lagrangian immersion in $\mathbb{C}^n$ that lies in a S^{2n-1} with center 0, then f is invariant under the S^1 -action, where S^1 acts on $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ by $e^{it} * z = e^{it} z$. To see this, we change coordinates linearly from u to $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} = \partial = \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}$. Then

$$E^{-1} \frac{dE}{dt_1} = (i\delta + [\delta, \beta]) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \right) = iI$$

and $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = e^{it_1} f(0, t_2, \dots, t_n)$, where E is the frame for $i\delta + [\delta, \beta]$.

Let $p: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ denote the Hopf fibration, whose fibers are orbits of the S^1 -action on S^{2n-1} . Then N is a flat Lagrangian submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ if and only if $p^{-1}(N)$ is a flat Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$ that lies in S^{2n-1} ([4, 13]). Hence any flat Lagrangian submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ comes from a flat Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$ that lies in S^{2n-1} .

The explicit associated family (2.13) of flat Lagrangian submanifolds that lie in hyperspheres produces an associated family of $(n-1)$ -dimensional flat Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

3. DRESSING ACTIONS AND GENERATORS OF RATIONAL LOOP GROUPS

We construct generators for the group of rational maps $g: \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ that satisfies the reality conditions $g(\bar{\lambda})^* g(\lambda) = g(-\lambda)^t g(\lambda) = I$ with $g(\infty) = I$, and review the dressing actions of these generators.

Let $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ be an open disk near ∞ in $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, $\Lambda_+(n)$, $\Lambda_-(n)$, and $\Lambda(n)$ the groups of holomorphic maps from $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty \cap \mathbb{C}$ to $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ respectively, and we require $g(\infty) = I$ for any g in $\Lambda_-(n)$. The Birkhoff Factorization Theorem implies that there exists an open dense subset of $\Lambda(n)$ such that any g in it can be factored uniquely as $g = g_- g_+ = f_+ f_-$ with $g_\pm, f_\pm \in \Lambda_\pm(n)$. This open dense subset is called the *big cell* of $\Lambda(n)$.

The *dressing action* of $\Lambda_-(n)$ on $\Lambda_+(n)$ is defined as follows: Given $g_\pm \in \Lambda_\pm(n)$, $g_- * g_+ = f_+$, where f_+ is the $\Lambda_+(n)$ factor of the factorization $g_- g_+ = f_- f_+$ with $f_\pm \in \Lambda_\pm(n)$. Note that this action is local, i.e., it is defined only when $g_- g_+$ lies in the big cell of $\Lambda(n)$. However, when $g_- \in \Lambda_-(n)$ is rational, the factorization $g_- g_+ = f_+ f_-$ can be computed explicitly as follows:

- (1) f_- must have the same poles as g_- ,
- (2) the residues of $g_- g_+ f_-^{-1}$ must be zero at poles of g_- , and this leads to a formula for f_- , hence we get a formula for f_+ .

The simplest kind of rational element in $\Lambda_-(n)$ is as follows: Let $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$, and π a projection matrix, i.e., $\pi^2 = \pi$. Set

$$g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi}(\lambda) := \pi + \frac{\lambda - \alpha_2}{\lambda - \alpha_1} (I - \pi). \quad (3.1)$$

Then $g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi} \in \Lambda_-(n)$.

First we recall a Theorem in [15] that give an explicit formula for the dressing action of $g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi}$ on $\Lambda_+(n)$:

Theorem 3.1 ([15]). *Let $g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi}$ be as in (3.1), and V_1 and V_2 denote the image of π and $I - \pi$ respectively. Assume $f \in \Lambda_+(n)$, and*

$$f(\alpha_1)^{-1}(V_1) \cap f(\alpha_2)^{-1}(V_2) = \{0\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Set $\tilde{\pi}$ is the projection onto $f(\alpha_1)^{-1}(V_1)$ with respect to

$$\mathbb{C}^n = f(\alpha_1)^{-1}(V_1) \oplus f(\alpha_2)^{-1}(V_2).$$

Then

- (1) $\tilde{f} = g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi} f g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \tilde{\pi}}^{-1}$ is in $\Lambda_+(n)$, i.e., $g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi} f = \tilde{f} g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \tilde{\pi}}$,
- (2) $\tilde{f} = g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi} * f$.

Since the proof is rather simple and is typical, we give a sketch here. First note that

$$g_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi}^{-1}(\lambda) = \pi + \frac{\lambda - \alpha_1}{\lambda - \alpha_2} (I - \pi).$$

So $\tilde{f}(\lambda)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ and has simple poles at α_1, α_2 . The residues of $\tilde{f}$ at $\lambda = \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(\tilde{f}, \alpha_1) &= (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(I - \pi)f(\alpha_1)\tilde{\pi}, \\ \text{Res}(\tilde{f}, \alpha_2) &= (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\pi f(\alpha_2)(I - \tilde{\pi}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of $\tilde{\pi}$ that both residues are zero. Hence $\tilde{f}$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}$, i.e., $\tilde{f}$ lies in $\Lambda_+(n)$. This finishes the proof.

Recall that the frame $E(u, \cdot)$ of the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system (2.4) is in $\Lambda_+(n)$ satisfying the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -reality condition (2.7). Moreover, the set of $f \in \Lambda(n)$ that satisfy the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -reality condition is a subgroup of $\Lambda(n)$. So we need to consider several subgroups of $\Lambda_\pm(n)$. Let

$$\tau : A \rightarrow (\bar{A}^t)^{-1}, \quad \sigma : A \rightarrow (A^t)^{-1}$$

denote the two commuting involutions on $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ determining the symmetric space $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$. Consider the following ‘twisted’ loop groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^\tau(n) &= \{f \in \Lambda(n) \mid \tau(f(\bar{\lambda})) = f(\lambda)\}, \\ \Lambda_\pm^\tau(n) &= \Lambda_\pm(n) \cap \Lambda^\tau(n), \\ \Lambda^{\tau, \sigma}(n) &= \{f \in \Lambda(n) \mid \sigma(f(\lambda)) = f(-\lambda), \tau(f(\lambda)) = f(\bar{\lambda})\}, \\ \Lambda_\pm^{\tau, \sigma}(n) &= \Lambda^{\tau, \sigma}(n) \cap \Lambda_\pm(n), \end{aligned}$$

We will add the subscript ‘m’, such as $\Lambda_{-,m}(n)$, to denote the subgroup of rational elements or meromorphic maps from $\mathbb{CP}^1$ to $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ in $\Lambda_-(n)$. The Birkhoff factorization respects the reality conditions (cf. [15]), i.e., If $g = g_+ g_-$ with $g_\pm \in \Lambda_\pm(n)$, then

- (1) $g \in \Lambda^\tau(n)$ implies that $g_\pm \in \Lambda_\pm^\tau(n)$,
- (2) $g \in \Lambda^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ implies that $g_\pm \in \Lambda_\pm^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$.

As a consequence, the dressing action of $\Lambda_-(n)$ on $\Lambda_+(n)$ restricts to the dressing actions of $\Lambda_-^\tau(n)$ on $\Lambda_+^\tau(n)$ and $\Lambda_-^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ on $\Lambda_+^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$.

Theorem 3.2. [16] *Given $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and a Hermitian projection π of $\mathbb{C}^n$, then*

$$g_{z, \pi}(\lambda) := g_{z, \bar{z}, \pi} = \pi + \frac{\lambda - \bar{z}}{\lambda - z} \pi^\perp \in \Lambda_{-,m}^\tau(n),$$

where $\pi^\perp = I - \pi$. Moreover, such elements generate $\Lambda_{-,m}^\tau(n)$.

It was proved in [15] that the reality condition $f(\bar{\lambda})^* f(\lambda) = I$ implies that (3.2) holds. So $g_{z, \pi} * f$ is defined for all $f \in \Lambda_+^\tau(n)$ and we have

Theorem 3.3. [15] *Let $f \in \Lambda_+^\tau(n)$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, π a Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $\tilde{f} = g_{z, \pi} * f$ the dressing action of $g_{z, \pi}$ at f . Then $\tilde{f} = g_{z, \pi} f g_{z, \tilde{\pi}}^{-1}$ lies in $\Lambda_+^\tau(n)$, where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$ onto $f(z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi)$.*

It is easy to see that ([15]) $g_{z, \pi} \in \Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ if and only if z is pure imaginary and $\tilde{\pi} = \pi$. Note that if $g \in \Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ has two simple poles in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$, then it follows from the reality condition that the poles of g must be $z, -\bar{z}$. So $g = g_{-\bar{z}, \rho} g_{z, \pi}$ for some projections ρ, π . To find all such g 's that lie in $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$, we need the permutability formula for $\Lambda_-^\tau(n)$:

Theorem 3.4 ([15] Permutability Theorem). *Given g_{z_i, π_i} in $\Lambda_{-,m}^\tau(n)$ with $z_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $z_1 \neq z_2, \bar{z}_2$, let ρ_1 denote the Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$ onto $g_{z_2, \pi_2}(z_1)(\text{Im } \pi_1)$, and ρ_2 the Hermitian projection onto*

$g_{z_1, \pi_1}(z_2)(\text{Im } \pi_2)$. Then $g_{z_2, \rho_2} g_{z_1, \pi_1} = g_{z_1, \rho_1} g_{z_2, \pi_2}$. Moreover, such factorization is unique.

We are ready to prove the analogue of Theorem 3.2 for $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$, π a Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and ρ the Hermitian projection onto $g_{z,\pi}(-\bar{z})(\text{Im } \bar{\pi})$. Set*

$$f_{z,\pi} := g_{-\bar{z},\rho} g_{z,\pi}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then $f_{z,\pi} \in \Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$.

Proof. Let $z_2 = -\bar{z}_1 = -\bar{z}$ and $\pi_2 = \bar{\pi}_1 = \bar{\pi}$. By the Permutability Theorem, we have $g_{-\bar{z},\rho_2} g_{z,\pi} = g_{z,\rho_1} g_{-\bar{z},\bar{\pi}}$, where

$$\text{Im}(\rho_1) = g_{-\bar{z},\bar{\pi}}(z)(\text{Im } \pi), \quad \text{Im}(\rho_2) = g_{z,\pi}(-\bar{z})(\text{Im } \bar{\pi}).$$

But $\overline{g_{z,\pi}(-\bar{z})} = g_{-\bar{z},\bar{\pi}}(z)$, so $\rho_2 = \bar{\rho}_1 = \rho$. In other words, we have

$$f_{z,\pi} := g_{-\bar{z},\rho} g_{z,\pi} = g_{z,\bar{\rho}} g_{-\bar{z},\bar{\pi}}, \quad (3.4)$$

which implies easily that $f_{z,\pi}$ satisfies σ -reality condition in addition. $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *The set of g_{is,π_r} 's and $f_{z,\pi}$'s generates $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$, where $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$, π_r, π are Hermitian projections, and $\bar{\pi}_r = \pi_r$.*

Proof. This theorem can be proved by induction on the total degree of a rational element similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [16] by Uhlenbeck. The details is as follows:

Given $g \in \Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$, for any complex number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ with $g(\alpha) \neq 0$, there is a unique $k \geq 0$ such that all entries of the matrix

$$g' := \left(\frac{\lambda - \alpha}{\lambda - \bar{\alpha}} \right)^k g$$

have no pole at $\lambda = \alpha$ and $g'(\alpha) \neq 0$. If $g(\alpha) = 0$, define $k = 0$. Then α is said to be a zero of g if $g'(\alpha)$ has nonzero kernel. Define the order K of the zero of $\det g'$ at α to be the total order of the zeros at the pair $(\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$. Following [16], the total degree of g is the sum of the total order of the zeros at all pairs.

We call both g_{is,π_r} and $f_{z,\pi}$ simple elements. We will prove that g can be factored as the product of simple elements by induction on the total degree of g . If the total degree of g is zero, then g is the constant I. Suppose the total degree of g is $m > 0$ and the statement is valid when the total degree is less than m . Then pick any zero α of g , we have the following three cases:

Case (i). $\text{Ker}(g'(\alpha)) = \mathbb{C}^n$ or $g'(\alpha) = g(\alpha) = 0$.

(1) If $\alpha = -is$, then $g(\lambda) = (\lambda + is)h(\lambda)$ for some meromorphic function h on S^2 . It follows from the $U(n)$ -reality condition that

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda + is}{\lambda - is} f(\lambda)$$

for some rational $f : S^2 \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Since both g and $\frac{\lambda + is}{\lambda - is}$ satisfy the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -reality condition, so is f . But the total degree of f is $m - n$. By the inductive hypothesis, f can be written as products of simple elements.

(2) If $\alpha = \bar{z} \notin \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$, then since $\overline{g(-\bar{\lambda})} = g(\lambda)$, $\text{Ker}(g(-z)) = \overline{\text{Ker}(g(\bar{z}))} = \mathbb{C}^n$. So $g(\lambda) = (\lambda + z)(\lambda - \bar{z})\tilde{g}(\lambda)$ for some rational $\tilde{g}$. But $g(\bar{\lambda})^*g(\lambda) = I$ implies that $g(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda+z)(\lambda-\bar{z})}{(\lambda-z)(\lambda+\bar{z})}\hat{g}(\lambda)$ for some rational $\hat{g}(\lambda)$. Because both g and $\frac{(\lambda+z)(\lambda-\bar{z})}{(\lambda-z)(\lambda+\bar{z})}$ satisfy the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -reality condition, so is $\hat{g}$. But the total degree of $\hat{g}$ is $m - 2n$. By induction hypothesis $\hat{g}$ is the product of simple elements.

Case (ii). $\alpha = is$ and $\text{Ker}(g'(is))$ is a proper linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of dimension $k < n$. Since $\overline{g(-\bar{\lambda})} = g(\lambda)$, $\overline{\text{Ker}(g(is))} = \text{Ker}(g(is))$. So the Hermitian projection π onto the orthogonal complement of $\text{Ker}(g'(is))$ is real, i.e., $\bar{\pi} = \pi$. Set

$$\hat{g}(\lambda) = g(\lambda) \left(\pi + \frac{\lambda + is}{\lambda - is} \pi^\perp \right).$$

The total degree of $\hat{g}$ is $m - k$. By induction hypothesis, $\hat{g} \in \Lambda^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ factors as a product of simple elements. So is g .

Case (iii). Suppose $\alpha \notin \mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R}$, and $\text{Ker}(g'(\alpha))$ is a proper linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of dimension $k < n$. Let ρ denote the Hermitian projection onto $\text{Ker}(g'(\alpha))$. Then ρ determines the Hermitian projection π in Theorem 3.5 to define $f_{\bar{\alpha}, \pi} = g_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}} g_{-\alpha, \pi}$ in $\Lambda^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$. Then $\hat{g} = g f_{\bar{\alpha}, \pi}$ is in $\Lambda^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ and has total degree $m + 2k$. Now

$$\hat{g}'(\alpha) = g'(\alpha) f_{\bar{\alpha}, \pi}(\alpha) = g'(\alpha) \bar{\rho} g_{-\alpha, \pi}(\alpha) = 0,$$

by definition of ρ . So $\hat{g}$ falls into the case (i), subcase (2), which implies that there exists $f \in \Lambda_{-, m}^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ such that $\hat{g} = \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)(\lambda + \bar{\alpha})}{(\lambda - \bar{\alpha})(\lambda + \alpha)} f$. Note the total degree of f is now $m + 2k - 2n < m$. By induction hypothesis, f (and g) can be written as a product of simple elements. $\square$

4. DRESSING ACTIONS ON ∂ -INVARIANT FLAT EGOROFF METRICS

We give explicit algorithm to compute the action of $\Lambda_{-, m}^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ on the space of ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metrics on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and on the space of flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ that lie in a hypersphere. As a consequence, we also get an action of $\Lambda_{-, m}^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ on the space of flat Lagrangian immersions of $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$.

The dressing action of $\Lambda_{-, m}^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ on $\Lambda_+^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ induces an action on solutions and their frames of the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system. The Theorem stated below is a consequence of Theorems 3.3, 3.6, and Corollary 6.2:

Theorem 4.1. *Let β be a solution of the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system, and $E(u, \lambda)$ its frame, i.e., $E^{-1} dE = i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta]$. Then:*

- (1) For the first type generator $g_{i\alpha,\pi}$ of $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$ in Theorem 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i\alpha,\pi} * E &= g_{i\alpha,\pi} E g_{i\alpha,\tilde{\pi}}^{-1}, \\ g_{i\alpha,\pi} * \beta &= \beta - 2\alpha \tilde{\pi}_*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_* := \xi - \sum_i \xi_{ii} e_{ii}$ and $\tilde{\pi}(u)$ is the Hermitian projection onto $E(u, i\alpha)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi)$.

- (2) For the second type generator $f_{z,\pi} = g_{-\bar{z},\rho} g_{z,\pi}$ of $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{z,\pi} * E &= f_{z,\pi} E g_{z,\hat{\pi}}^{-1} g_{-\bar{z},\hat{\rho}}^{-1}, \\ f_{z,\pi} * \beta &= \beta + (z - \bar{z})(\hat{\pi} + \hat{\rho})_*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\pi}$ is the Hermitian projection onto $E(u, z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi)$, $\hat{\rho}(u)$ is the projection onto $E_1(u, -\bar{z})^{-1}(\text{Im } \rho)$, and $E_1 = g_{z,\pi} E g_{z,\hat{\pi}}^{-1}$.

Now Theorem 2.5 helps us compute dressing actions on ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metrics and on flat Lagrangian submanifolds in $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ without using the extended frame.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $ds^2 = \sum h_i(u)^2 du_i^2$ be a ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metric, β its rotation coefficient matrix, $E(u, \lambda)$ the frame for $i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta]$, $c = h(0)$, and $X(u, \lambda) = -\lambda^{-1}(E(u, \lambda)E(u, 0)^{-1}c - c)$ the associated family of flat Lagrangian immersions given in Theorem 2.5. Let $\tilde{E} = g_{i\alpha,\pi} * E$ and $\hat{E} = f_{z,\pi} * E$ be as in Theorem 4.1. Then constant vectors $\tilde{c}$ and $\hat{c}$ give the following new ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metrics and associated family of flat Lagrangian submanifolds in $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(u) &= \tilde{E}(u, 0)\tilde{c}, & \tilde{X} &= -i\lambda^{-1}(\tilde{E}(u, \lambda)\tilde{E}(u, 0)^{-1}\tilde{c} - \tilde{c}), \\ \hat{h}(u) &= \hat{E}(u, 0)\hat{c}, & \hat{X} &= -i\lambda^{-1}(\hat{E}(u, \lambda)\hat{E}(u, 0)^{-1}\hat{c} - \hat{c}). \end{aligned}$$

5. DRESSING ACTIONS ON FLAT LAGRANGIAN IMMERSIONS IN $\mathbb{C}^n$

We give explicit formulas for dressing actions of rational elements with one simple pole on flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and on the potential functions of the flat Egoroff metrics, using the extended frame.

Let π be a Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$, and π' denote the Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}$ onto $\begin{pmatrix} \text{Im } \pi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$g_{z,\bar{z},\pi'} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{z,\pi} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda - \bar{z}}{\lambda - z} \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda_-(n+1). \quad (5.1)$$

We will first compute formally the dressing action of $g_{z,\bar{z},\pi'}$ on the extended frame F of a flat Egoroff metric, forgetting the σ -reality condition $g(-\lambda)g^t = I$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $ds^2 = \sum h_i^2(u) du_i^2$ be a flat Egoroff metric, $\beta = (\beta_{ij})$ its rotation coefficient matrix, and $F = \begin{pmatrix} E & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ its extended frame. Given $g_{z,\bar{z},\pi'}$ as in (5.1), then*

$$g_{z,\bar{z},\pi'} F = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{E} & \tilde{X} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{z,\tilde{\pi}} & \xi \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda-\bar{z}}{\lambda-z} \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda_+(n+1) \times \Lambda_-(n+1),$$

where $\tilde{\pi}(u)$ is the Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$ onto $E(u, z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi)$,

$$\eta = E(u, \bar{z})^{-1} X(u, \bar{z}), \quad \xi = \frac{\bar{z}-z}{\lambda-z} \tilde{\pi} \eta, \quad \tilde{E} = g_{z,\pi} E g_{z,\tilde{\pi}}^{-1},$$

$$\tilde{X} = g_{z,\pi^\perp} \left(X - \frac{\bar{z}-z}{\lambda-z} E \tilde{\pi} \eta \right).$$

Moreover, let $\tilde{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{E} & \tilde{X} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\tilde{F}^{-1} d\tilde{F} = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \tilde{\beta}] & \delta\tilde{h} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$\tilde{\beta} = \beta + i(z - \bar{z})\tilde{\pi}_*, \quad \tilde{h} = h + i(z - \bar{z})\tilde{\pi}\eta.$$

Proof. We first observe that $F(u, z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi') = \begin{pmatrix} E(u, z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\text{Im}(I - \pi') = (\text{Im } \pi^\perp) \oplus \mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$F(u, \bar{z})^{-1}(\text{Im}(I - \pi')) = \begin{pmatrix} E(u, \bar{z})^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi^\perp) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} -E(u, \bar{z})^{-1} X(u, \bar{z}) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (2.7), $E(u, z)^{-1} = E(u, \bar{z})^*$, which implies that $E(u, z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi)$ is Hermitian orthogonal to $E(u, \bar{z})^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi^\perp)$. Therefore

$$F(u, z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi') \cap F(u, \bar{z})^{-1}(\text{Im}(I - \pi')) = \{0\}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we can factor

$$g_{z,\bar{z},\pi'} F = \tilde{F} g_{z,\bar{z},\hat{\pi}} \in \Lambda_+(n+1) \times \Lambda_-(n+1),$$

where $\hat{\pi}$ is the projection onto $F(u, z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi')$ with respect to

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = F(u, z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi') \oplus F(u, \bar{z})^{-1}(\text{Im}(I - \pi')).$$

Notice that $\tilde{\pi}$ is no longer a Hermitian projection. Use Theorem 3.1 to get $\hat{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\pi} & \tilde{\pi}\eta \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $g_{z,\bar{z},\hat{\pi}} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{z,\tilde{\pi}} & \xi \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda-\bar{z}}{\lambda-z} \end{pmatrix}$, where $\eta = E(u, \bar{z})^{-1} X(u, \bar{z})$ and $\xi = \frac{\bar{z}-z}{\lambda-z} \tilde{\pi}\eta$.

Formulas for $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{X}$ can be computed easily from $\tilde{F} = g_{z,\bar{z},\pi'} F g_{z,\bar{z},\hat{\pi}}^{-1}$.

Use $\tilde{F} = g_{z,\bar{z},\pi'} F g_{z,\bar{z},\hat{\pi}}^{-1}$ to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}^{-1} d\tilde{F} &= \begin{pmatrix} g_{z,\tilde{\pi}} & \xi \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda-\bar{z}}{\lambda-z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta] & \delta h \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{z,\tilde{\pi}} & \xi \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda-\bar{z}}{\lambda-z} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &\quad - d \begin{pmatrix} g_{z,\tilde{\pi}} & \xi \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda-\bar{z}}{\lambda-z} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_{z,\tilde{\pi}} & \xi \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda-\bar{z}}{\lambda-z} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Since $\tilde{F}(u, \cdot) \in \Lambda_+(n+1)$, the LHS is holomorphic in $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. But the RHS has a simple pole at $\lambda = \infty$. So $\tilde{F}^{-1}d\tilde{F}$ must be a degree 1 polynomial in λ . Use $(\lambda - z)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} + z\lambda^{-2} + z^2\lambda^{-3} + \dots$ to compute the holomorphic part of the RHS to conclude

$$\tilde{F}^{-1}d\tilde{F} = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \tilde{\beta}] & \delta\tilde{h} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\tilde{\beta} = \beta + i(z - \bar{z})\tilde{\pi}_*$ and $\tilde{h} = h + i(z - \bar{z})\tilde{\pi}\eta$. $\square$

We will use $g_{z, \bar{z}, \pi'} * F$ to denote $\tilde{F}$, and use $g_{z, \bar{z}, \pi'} * X$ to denote $\tilde{X}$, ... etc. Now imposing σ -reality condition, we have:

Theorem 5.2. *Use the same notation as in Theorem 5.1, and assume $\bar{\pi} = \pi$ and $z = i\alpha$. Then*

$$\tilde{X} = g_{i\alpha, -i\alpha, \pi'} * X = g_{-i\alpha, \pi^\perp} \left(X + \frac{2i\alpha}{\lambda - i\alpha} E \tilde{\pi}\eta \right) \quad (5.3)$$

is a new associated family of flat Lagrangian immersions for $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} = \sum_i \tilde{h}_i^2 du_i^2$, where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$ onto $E(u, i\alpha)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi)$, $\eta = E(u, i\alpha)^t X(u, -i\alpha)$, and

$$\tilde{h} = g_{i\alpha, -i\alpha, \pi'} * h = h - 2\alpha\tilde{\pi}\eta.$$

Moreover, $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$ is a new flat Egoroff metric with potential

$$\tilde{\phi} = g_{i\alpha, -i\alpha, \pi'} * \phi = \phi - 2\alpha\eta^t \tilde{\pi} \eta.$$

Its rotation coefficient matrix is $\tilde{\beta} = g_{i\alpha, -i\alpha, \pi'} * \beta = \beta - 2\alpha\tilde{\pi}_*$.

Proof. Note that the reality conditions (2.7) imply $E(u, -i\alpha)^{-1} = E(u, i\alpha)^t$ and $E(u, i\alpha)$ are real. Using $z = i\alpha$ in Theorem 5.1, the uniqueness of solution to (2.14) implies that $X(u, i\alpha)$ and η are real. Thus the new $\tilde{h}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are also real. Hence formulas for $\tilde{X}$, $\tilde{h}$ and η follow from Theorem 5.1.

To prove the formula for $\tilde{\phi}$, we need to show that $d\tilde{\phi} = \sum_i \tilde{h}_i^2 du_i$, i.e., $d\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{h}^t \delta h$. Use the formula for $\tilde{h}$ to get $\tilde{h}^t \delta \tilde{h} = d\phi - 4\alpha h^t \delta \tilde{\pi} \eta + 4\alpha^2 \eta^t \tilde{\pi}^t \delta \tilde{\pi} \eta$. Next we compute $d\tilde{\phi}$. Let V be an $n \times k$ matrix whose columns form a basis for $\text{Im } \pi$ and $U := E(u, -i\alpha)^* V$. Then $\tilde{\pi} = U(U^*U)^{-1}U^*$ and

$$\tilde{\phi} = \phi - 2\alpha X(u, -i\alpha)^* V (U^*U)^{-1} V^* X(u, -i\alpha).$$

Since $dX(u, -i\alpha)^* = h^t \delta E(u, -i\alpha)^*$ and $dU = (\alpha\delta - [\delta, \beta])U$,

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{\phi} &= d\phi - 2\alpha [2h^t \delta \tilde{\pi} \eta + X(u, -i\alpha)^* V d(U^*U)^{-1} V^* X(u, -i\alpha)] \\ &= d\phi - 2\alpha \{2h^t \delta \tilde{\pi} \eta - 2\eta^* \tilde{\pi}^* (\alpha\delta - [\delta, \beta]) \tilde{\pi} \eta\} \\ &= d\phi - 2\alpha (2h^t \delta \tilde{\pi} \eta - 2\alpha \eta^* \tilde{\pi}^* \delta \tilde{\pi} \eta) \\ &= d\phi - 4\alpha h^t \delta \tilde{\pi} \eta + 4\alpha^2 \eta^t \tilde{\pi}^t \delta \tilde{\pi} \eta = \tilde{h}^t \delta \tilde{h}. \end{aligned}$$

We have used $d(U^*U)^{-1} = -(U^*U)^{-1} d(U^*U) (U^*U)^{-1}$ in the second equality above, and in the third $\eta^* \tilde{\pi}^* [\delta, \beta] \tilde{\pi} \eta = 0$ since $\beta^* = \beta$. $\square$

Remark 5.3. Our theorem guarantees $\tilde{X}(u, \lambda)$ in $\tilde{F}$ is holomorphic for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, though the formula for $\tilde{X}$ given by (5.3) seems to have poles at $\lambda = \pm i\alpha$. However, the residue of (5.3) at $\lambda = -i\alpha$ is

$$-2i\alpha\pi(X_{-i\alpha} - E_{-i\alpha}\tilde{\pi}\eta) = -2i\alpha\pi(X_{-i\alpha} - E_{-i\alpha}\tilde{\pi}E_{i\alpha}^t X_{-i\alpha}). \quad (5.4)$$

Substitute $\tilde{\pi} = U(U^*U)^{-1}U$ into (5.4), and we see that the residue of X_λ at $\lambda = -i\alpha$ is zero, hence X_λ is holomorphic at $\lambda = -i\alpha$. Similar computation shows that X_λ is holomorphic at $\lambda = i\alpha$.

By Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, a flat Lagrangian immersion in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with induced metric $\sum h_i^2 du_i^2$ lies in a hypersphere if and only if $\sum h_j^2$ is constant. In the next Theorem we give a necessary and sufficient condition on π so that $g_{i\alpha, -i\alpha, \pi'} * X$ again lies in a hypersphere.

Theorem 5.4. Let $ds^2 = \sum h_i(u)^2 du_i^2$ be a ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metric, β its rotation coefficient matrix, and $F = \begin{pmatrix} E & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ its extended frame. Let $\tilde{X} = g_{i\alpha, -i\alpha, \pi'} * X$, $\tilde{h}$, $\tilde{\phi}$, $\tilde{\pi}$, and η be as in Theorem 5.2. Then $\tilde{X}$ lie in hyperspheres if and only if $\text{Im } \pi \perp h(0)$ (or $\pi(h(0)) = 0$).

Moreover, if $\text{Im } \pi \perp h(0)$, then $\tilde{X}(\cdot, \lambda)$ is contained in the same hypersphere as $X(\cdot, \lambda)$, $\alpha\tilde{\pi}\eta = \tilde{\pi}h$, and

$$\tilde{X} = g_{-i\alpha, \pi^\perp} \left(X + \frac{2i}{\lambda - i\alpha} E \tilde{\pi} h \right), \quad (5.5)$$

$$\tilde{h} = h - 2\tilde{\pi}h, \quad \tilde{\phi} = \phi - \frac{2}{\alpha} h^t \tilde{\pi} h, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \beta - 2\alpha\tilde{\pi}_*. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. Let V and U be the same as in the proof of Theorem 5.2. Then

$$\pi = V(V^*V)^{-1}V^*, \quad \tilde{\pi} = U(U^*U)^{-1}U^*, \quad U = E(u, -i\alpha)^*V.$$

The formula (2.13) implies that

$$\eta = E(u, -i\alpha)^{-1}X(u, -i\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha} (h - E(u, -i\alpha)^{-1}h(0)).$$

If $\text{Im } \pi \perp h(0)$, i.e., $h(0)^t V = 0$, then by the formula above we have $\alpha\tilde{\pi}\eta = \tilde{\pi}h$. So the formulas for $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{h}$ are simplified as given. Moreover, since $I - 2\tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi}^\perp - \tilde{\pi}$ is orthogonal and $\tilde{h} = (I - 2\tilde{\pi})h$, we have $\|\tilde{h}\|^2 = \|h\|^2 = \text{constant}$, which implies that $\tilde{X}$ lies in a hypersphere. It follows from $h(0) \perp \text{Im } \pi$ and $\tilde{\pi}(0) = \pi$ that $\tilde{h}(0) = h(0)$. So the new submanifold $\tilde{X}(u, \lambda)$ is contained in the same hypersphere as $X(u, \lambda)$ for each fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Conversely, if $\|\tilde{h}\|^2$ is constant, then $\tilde{h}^t d\tilde{h} = 0$. We want to prove that $h(0)^t V = 0$. Use formula $\tilde{h} = h - 2\alpha\tilde{\pi}\eta$ to compute

$$\tilde{h}^t d\tilde{h} = -2\alpha(h^t - 2\alpha\eta^t \tilde{\pi}) d(\tilde{\pi}\eta) - 2\alpha h^t [\delta, \beta] \tilde{\pi}\eta.$$

Here we have used $dh + [\delta, \beta]h = 0$ for spherical case. Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(\tilde{\pi}\eta) &= d(U(U^*U)^{-1}VX(u, -i\alpha)) \\ &= (\alpha\delta - [\delta, \beta])\tilde{\pi}\eta + U d(U^*U)^{-1}VX_{-i\alpha} + \tilde{\pi}\delta h \\ &= (\alpha\delta - [\delta, \beta])\tilde{\pi}\eta + \tilde{\pi}\delta\tilde{h}, \end{aligned}$$

using $dU = (\alpha\delta - [\delta, \beta])U$. After some simplification, we have

$$\tilde{h}^t d\tilde{h} = -2\alpha(h^t - \alpha\eta^t)\tilde{\pi}\delta\tilde{h} = -2\alpha h(0)^t V(U^*U)^{-1}U^*\delta\tilde{h}$$

At $u = 0$, this differential is 0 only when $h(0)^t V = 0$, since each entry in $U^*\delta\tilde{h}|_{u=0} = V^*\delta h$ is not 0. $\square$

As a by-product at $\lambda = 0$, Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.4 give formulas for the dressing action of $g_{i\alpha, \pi}$ on Egoroff and ∂ -invariant Egoroff orthogonal nets respectively. Specially, they give a group point of view of the vectorial Ribaucour transformations for Egoroff orthogonal nets constructed in [8].

Dressing actions of general rational elements

Theorem 5.1 suggests that we should be able to use the Birkhoff factorization to construct the action of rational loops of the form $\begin{pmatrix} g_{i\alpha, \pi} & \frac{b}{\lambda - i\alpha} \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda + i\alpha}{\lambda - i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$.

This leads us to consider the following element in $\Lambda_-(n+1)$: Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$k_{i\alpha, b}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I & \frac{ib}{\lambda - i\alpha} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.7)$$

Next we compute the dressing action of $k_{i\alpha, b}$ on flat Lagrangian immersions.

Let $F = \begin{pmatrix} E & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ be an extended frame for a flat Lagrangian immersion X in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle. We can factor $k_{i\alpha, b}F = \tilde{F}\tilde{k}$ in $\Lambda_+(n+1)\Lambda_-(n+1)$ as follows:

$$k_{i\alpha, b}F = \tilde{F}\tilde{k} = \begin{pmatrix} E & Y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & \frac{iE_{i\alpha}^{-1}b}{\lambda - i\alpha} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$Y = X + \frac{i(b - E_{i\alpha}E_{i\alpha}^{-1}b)}{\lambda - i\alpha}.$$

Note that Y is holomorphic at $\lambda = -i\alpha$, so $\tilde{F} \in \Lambda_+(n+1)$. A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}^{-1}d\tilde{F} &= \begin{pmatrix} E^{-1}dE & E^{-1}dY \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta] & E^{-1}dE + \delta E_{i\alpha}^{-1}b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta] & \delta h + \delta E_{i\alpha}^{-1}b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since b is real and E satisfies the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -reality condition, we have

$$\overline{E_{i\alpha}^{-1}b} = \overline{E_{-i\alpha}^t b} = E_{i\alpha}^{-1}b.$$

So $E_{i\alpha}^{-1}b$ is real and we have proved

Theorem 5.5. *Let $X(\cdot, \lambda)$ be the associated family of flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle for the flat Egoroff metric $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i(u)^2 du_i^2$, and $F = \begin{pmatrix} E & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ its extended frame. Let $k_{i\alpha, b}$ be as in (5.7). Then*

$$k_{i\alpha, b} * X := X + \frac{i(b - E_\lambda E_{i\alpha}^{-1}b)}{\lambda - i\alpha}$$

is a family of flat Lagrangian immersions associated to the flat Egoroff metric

$$k_{i\alpha, b} * h = h + E_{i\alpha}^{-1}b.$$

Set

$$r_{i\alpha, \pi, b}(\lambda) := k_{-i\alpha, -2\alpha b} g_{i\alpha, -i\alpha, \pi'} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{i\alpha, \pi} & \frac{-2i\alpha b}{\lambda - i\alpha} \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda + i\alpha}{\lambda - i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.8)$$

Since both $k_{i\alpha, b} * F$ and $g_{i\alpha, -i\alpha, \pi'} * F$ come from the dressing action of $\Lambda_-(n+1)$ on $\Lambda_+(n+1)$, Theorems 5.2 and 5.5 give the formula for the action of $r_{i\alpha, \pi, b}$ on flat Lagrangian immersions and on flat Egoroff metrics.

Analytic version of dressing actions

We can also write down a first order compatible PDE system for $\tilde{\pi}$ and b that give rise to the action of $r_{i\alpha, \pi, b}$ on flat Lagrangian immersions. Let $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2 du_i^2$ be a flat Egoroff metric, β its rotation coefficient matrix, and F its extended frame, i.e.,

$$\theta_\lambda = F^{-1}dF = \begin{pmatrix} i\delta\lambda + [\delta, \beta] & \delta h \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We want to find $\tilde{F} = gF\tilde{g}^{-1}$ with $g = r_{i\alpha, \pi, b}$ and

$$\tilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{i\alpha, \tilde{\pi}(u)} & \frac{-2i\alpha}{\lambda - i\alpha} y(u) \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda + i\alpha}{\lambda - i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

such that

$$\tilde{\theta}_\lambda = \tilde{F}^{-1}d\tilde{F} = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \tilde{\beta}] & \delta\tilde{h} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{h}$. Instead of factoring it directly, we can use the fact that $\tilde{\theta}_\lambda = \tilde{g}\theta_\lambda\tilde{g}^{-1} - d\tilde{g}\tilde{g}^{-1}$. So

$$\tilde{\theta}_\lambda\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}\theta_\lambda - d\tilde{g}$$

should hold for all λ . This will give a first order PDE system for $\tilde{\pi}$ and y as follow: If we multiply $(\lambda - i\alpha)$ to both sides of the above equation, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \tilde{\beta}](\lambda - i\alpha + 2i\alpha\tilde{\pi}^\perp) \\ & = (\lambda - i\alpha + 2i\alpha\tilde{\pi}^\perp)(i\delta\lambda + [\delta, \beta]) - 2i\alpha d\tilde{\pi}, \quad \text{and} \\ & - 2i\alpha(i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \tilde{\beta}])y + (\lambda + i\alpha)\delta\tilde{h} \\ & = (\lambda - i\alpha + 2i\alpha\tilde{\pi}^\perp)\delta h + 2i\alpha dy. \end{aligned}$$

Equate coefficients of λ and the constant term to get

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i(u) du_i^2$ is a flat Egoroff metric, β its rotation coefficient matrix, and X the associated family of flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle. Then the following system is solvable for $\tilde{\pi}$ and y :*

$$\begin{cases} d\tilde{\pi} = [[\delta, \beta], \tilde{\pi}] + \alpha[\delta, \tilde{\pi}](I - 2\tilde{\pi}), & \tilde{\pi}(0) = \pi, \\ dy = -[\delta, \beta - 2\alpha\tilde{\pi}]y + \tilde{\pi}\delta h - \alpha\delta y, & y(0) = b, \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

where $\tilde{\pi}^2 = \tilde{\pi}$, $\tilde{\pi}^* = \tilde{\pi} = \overline{\tilde{\pi}}$, and $y(u) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, set $\tilde{h} = h - 2\alpha y$, then $d\tilde{s}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{h}_j^2 du_j^2$ is again a flat Egoroff metric and $\tilde{\beta} = \beta - 2\alpha\tilde{\pi}_*$ is the rotation coefficient matrix for $d\tilde{s}^2$.

At the first sight, it is not clear that we can write down all solutions of system (5.9). But our algebraic formulas for the actions of $g_{i\alpha, \pi}$ and $k_{i\alpha, b}$ in fact gives all the solutions (5.9). The geometric Ribaucour transformation constructed in [4] is just a special case of the above theorem for rank 1 real projections.

6. PERMUTABILITY THEOREM, COMPLEX RIBAUCCOUR TRANSFORMATION AND EXAMPLES

Let us first compute the permutability formulas for dressing actions of two simple elements as in Theorem 5.1, ignoring the σ -reality condition. For simplicity, we will use $g_{z, \pi} * F$ instead of $g_{z, \bar{z}, \pi'} * F$ in this section and so is for X .

Theorem 6.1. *We use the same notations as in Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 5.1. For $j = 1, 2$, let $F_j := g_{z_j, \pi_j} * F$, where $*$ is the dressing action computed in Theorem 5.1. Then we have*

$$F_{12} := (g_{z_2, \rho_2} g_{z_1, \pi_1}) * F = g_{z_2, \rho_2} * F_1 \quad (6.1)$$

$$= F_{21} := (g_{z_1, \rho_1} g_{z_2, \pi_2}) * F = g_{z_1, \rho_1} * F_2. \quad (6.2)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{12} &= g_{\bar{z}_2, \rho_2^\perp} g_{\bar{z}_1, \pi_1^\perp} \left(X - \frac{\bar{z}_1 - z_1}{\lambda - z_1} E \tilde{\pi}_1 \eta_1 - \frac{\bar{z}_2 - z_2}{\lambda - z_2} E g_{z_1, \tilde{\pi}_1^\perp} \tilde{\rho}_2 \eta_{12} \right) \\ &= g_{\bar{z}_1, \rho_1^\perp} g_{\bar{z}_2, \pi_2^\perp} \left(X - \frac{\bar{z}_2 - z_2}{\lambda - z_2} E \tilde{\pi}_2 \eta_2 - \frac{\bar{z}_1 - z_1}{\lambda - z_1} E g_{z_2, \tilde{\pi}_2^\perp} \tilde{\rho}_1 \eta_{21} \right), \\ F_{12}^{-1} dF_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda\delta + [\delta, \beta_{12}] & \delta h_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_{12} &= h + i(z_1 - \bar{z}_1) \tilde{\pi}_1 \eta_1 + i(z_2 - \bar{z}_2) \tilde{\rho}_2 \eta_{12} \\ &= h + i(z_2 - \bar{z}_2) \tilde{\pi}_2 \eta_2 + i(z_1 - \bar{z}_1) \tilde{\rho}_1 \eta_{21}, \\ \beta_{12} &= \beta + i(z_1 - \bar{z}_1) \tilde{\pi}_{1*} + i(z_2 - \bar{z}_2) \tilde{\rho}_{2*} \\ &= \beta + i(z_2 - \bar{z}_2) \tilde{\pi}_{2*} + i(z_1 - \bar{z}_1) \tilde{\rho}_{1*}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{\pi}_j(u)$ is the Hermitian projection onto $E(u, z_j)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi_j)$, $\eta_j(u) = E(u, \bar{z}_j)^{-1} X(u, \bar{z}_j)$, $\tilde{\rho}_j$ the Hermitian projection onto $g_{z_k, \tilde{\pi}_k}(z_j)(\text{Im } \tilde{\pi}_j)$, and $\eta_{kj}(u) = g_{\bar{z}_k, \tilde{\pi}_k^\perp}(\bar{z}_j) \eta_j + \frac{\bar{z}_k - z_k}{\bar{z}_k - \bar{z}_j} \tilde{\pi}_k \eta_k$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $k = 3 - j$.

Proof. Because the dressing action is a group action, (6.1) certainly holds by Theorem 3.4. So we have

$$g_{z_2, \rho_2} E_1 = E_{12} g_{z_2, \tilde{\rho}_2},$$

for $\tilde{\rho}_2$ being the Hermitian projection onto $E_1(u, z_2)^{-1}(\text{Im } \rho_2)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(u, z_2)^{-1}(\text{Im } \rho_2) &= E_1(u, z_2)^{-1} g_{z_1, \pi_1}(z_2)(\text{Im } \pi_2) \\ &= g_{z_1, \tilde{\pi}_1}(z_2) E(u, z_2)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi_2) \\ &= g_{z_1, \tilde{\pi}_1}(z_2)(\text{Im } \tilde{\pi}_2). \end{aligned}$$

We get $\tilde{\rho}_1$ similarly. Now by Theorem 5.1,

$$X_{12} = g_{\bar{z}_2, \rho_2^\perp} \left(X_1 - \frac{\bar{z}_2 - z_2}{\lambda - z_2} E_1 \tilde{\rho}_2 \eta_{12} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{12} &= E_1(u, \bar{z}_2)^{-1} X_1(u, \bar{z}_2) \\ &= g_{z_1, \tilde{\pi}_1} E^{-1} g_{z_1, \pi_1}^{-1} g_{\bar{z}_1, \pi_1^\perp} \left(X - \frac{\bar{z}_1 - z_1}{\lambda - z_1} E \tilde{\pi}_1 \eta_1 \right) \Big|_{\lambda=\bar{z}_2} \\ &= g_{\bar{z}_1, \tilde{\pi}_1^\perp}(\bar{z}_2) \eta_2 + \frac{\bar{z}_1 - z_1}{\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2} \tilde{\pi}_1 \eta_1. \end{aligned}$$

The rest follows directly from Theorem 5.1. $\square$

When z_j are pure imaginary and π_j are real, the above theorem gives permutability formulas for the transformations in Theorem 5.2. When $z_2 = -\bar{z}_1 = -\bar{z}$, $\pi_2 = \bar{\pi}_1 = \bar{\pi}$, and $\rho_2 = \bar{\rho}_1 = \rho$, the above theorem gives the formula for the dressing action of the other type generator $f_{z, \pi} = g_{-\bar{z}, \rho} g_{z, \pi}$ of $\Lambda_{-, m}^{\tau, \sigma}(n)$ on flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal

bundle and on Egoroff orthogonal nets. We call $X \mapsto f_{z,\pi} * X$ a *complex Ribaucour transformation*.

Corollary 6.2. *Let $ds^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i^2 du_i^2$ be a flat Egoroff metric, β the rotation coefficient matrix for ds^2 , and $F = \begin{pmatrix} E & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ the extended frame for ds^2 . Let π be a Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup i\mathbb{R})$, and $f_{z,\pi}$ given by Proposition 3.5. Then*

$$f_{z,\pi} * F := g_{-\bar{z},\rho} * (g_{z,\pi} * F) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E} & \hat{X} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an extended frame of a new flat Egoroff metric $\hat{ds}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{h}_i^2 du_i^2$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X} &= g_{-z,\rho^\perp} g_{\bar{z},\pi^\perp} \left(X - \frac{\bar{z}-z}{\lambda-z} E \tilde{\pi} \eta_1 - \frac{\bar{z}-z}{\lambda+\bar{z}} E g_{z,\tilde{\pi}^\perp} \tilde{\rho} \eta_{12} \right), \\ \hat{h} &= f_{z,\pi} * h = h + i(z - \bar{z})(\tilde{\pi} \eta_1 + \tilde{\rho} \eta_{12}), \\ \hat{\beta} &= f_{z,\pi} * \beta = \beta + i(z - \bar{z})(\tilde{\pi} + \tilde{\rho})_*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\pi}(u)$ is the Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$ onto $E(u, z)^{-1}(\text{Im } \pi)$, $\tilde{\rho}(u)$ the Hermitian projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$ onto $g_{z,\tilde{\pi}}(-\bar{z})E(u, -\bar{z})^{-1}(\text{Im } \tilde{\pi})$, $\eta_1(u) = E(u, \bar{z})^{-1}X(u, \bar{z})$, and $\eta_{12} = g_{\bar{z},\tilde{\pi}^\perp} E^{-1}X|_{\lambda=-z + \frac{\bar{z}-z}{\bar{z}+z} \tilde{\pi}} \eta_1$.

Flat Lagrangian immersions corresponding to soliton solutions

The simplest examples of flat Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with non-degenerate normal bundle come from the “vacuum” solution of the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system, i.e., $\beta \equiv 0$ or $\beta_{ij} \equiv 0$. The Lax pair for $\beta = 0$ is $i\lambda\delta$ with frame $E = \exp(\sum_j i\lambda u_j e_{jj})$. Let h_j be any smooth positive function defined on some interval I_j around 0 for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $ds^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(u_j)^2 du_j^2$ is a flat Egoroff metric with rotation coefficient matrix $\beta = 0$, and the associated family of ds^2 is a product of plane curves:

$$\begin{aligned} X_\lambda &= (z_1, \dots, z_n)^t, \quad \text{where } z_j(u_j) = \int_0^{u_j} h_j(t) e^{i\lambda t} dt, \\ ds^2 &= \sum_j \phi_{u_j} du_j^2, \quad \text{with } \phi = \sum_j \int_0^{u_j} h_j(t)^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

If ds^2 is ∂ -invariant, then $\sum h_j(u_j)^2 = c$, which implies that each h_j must be a constant r_j . So the corresponding flat Lagrangian submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^n$ contained in S^{2n-1} (or $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$) are really flat tori, and the associated family is $X_\lambda = (r_1(e^{i\lambda u_1} - 1), \dots, r_n(e^{i\lambda u_n} - 1))^t / (i\lambda)$. The potential for the ∂ -invariant Egoroff metric is $\phi = \sum r_j^2 u_j$. It is interesting to see when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ how X gives the standard orthogonal net of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The orbit of the action of $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$ at the vacuum $\beta = 0$ is the space of soliton solutions for the $\frac{U(n)}{O(n)}$ -system. We give algorithm to compute flat Lagrangian immersions corresponding to these soliton solutions. Since $g_{i\alpha, \pi_r}$'s

and $f_{z,\pi}$'s generate $\Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$, we can use Theorems 5.2 and 5.4 repeatedly to give a recursive algorithm to construct explicitly flat Lagrangian immersions and flat Egoroff metrics given by the action of any $g \in \Lambda_{-,m}^{\tau,\sigma}(n)$ on these vacuum flat Lagrangian immersions. We can also apply Theorem 5.5 to $g * X$ and $g * h$ to get another $n + 1$ parameter families of flat Lagrangian immersions and flat Egoroff metrics. Similarly, we can apply Theorem 4.2 to flat tori repeatedly to get explicit formulas for ∂ -invariant flat Egoroff metrics and on flat Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ that lie in hyperspheres.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brück, M., Du, X., Park, J., and Terng, C.L., *The submanifold geometries associated to Grassmannian systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **155** (2002), no. 735, viii+95 pp.
- [2] Bianchi, L., *Lezioni di Geometria Differenziale*, Bologna, 1927.
- [3] Darboux, G., *Lecon sur les Systèmes Orthogonaux et les coordonnées curvilignes*, Gauthier-Villars, (1910), 2nd edition
- [4] Dajczer, M. and Tojeiro, R., *The Ribaucour transformation for flat Lagrangian submanifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **10** (2000), 269–280.
- [5] Dajczer, M. and Tojeiro, R., *An extension of the classical Ribaucour transformation*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **85** (2002), 211–232.
- [6] Egoroff, D.F., *A Class of Orthogonal Systems*, Uch. Zap. Mosk. Univ. Otd. Fiz.-Mat. **18** (1901), 1239.
- [7] Ferus, D., Pedit, F., *Curved flats in symmetric spaces*, Manuscripta Math., **91** (1996), 445–454
- [8] Liu, Q.P. and Mañas, M., *Symmetric reduction of the vectorial fundamental transformation: application to the Darboux-Egorov equations*, J. Phys. A **32** (1999), 5921–5927.
- [9] Mañas, M., Alonso, L. M. and Medina, E., *Dressing methods for geometric nets: I. Conjugate nets*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33** (2000), 2871–2894.
- [10] Mañas, M., Alonso, L. M. and Medina, E., *Dressing methods for geometric nets: II. Orthogonal and Egorov nets*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33** (2000), 7181–7206.
- [11] Ribaucour, A., Comp. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris **74** (1872) 1489.
- [12] Terng, C.L., *Soliton equations and differential geometry*, J. Differential Geom. **45** (1997), 407–445.
- [13] Terng, C.L., *Geometries and symmetries of soliton equations and integrable elliptic equations*, to appear in Surveys on Geometry and Integrable Systems, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Mathematical Society of Japan.
- [14] Terng, C.L. and Uhlenbeck, K., *Poisson actions and scattering theory for integrable systems*, Surveys in Differential Geometry, **IV**, 315–402
- [15] Terng, C.L. and Uhlenbeck, K., *Bäcklund transformations and loop group actions*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. **53** (2000), no. 1, 1–75.
- [16] Uhlenbeck, K., *Harmonic maps into Lie group: classical solutions of the Chiral model*, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1989), no. 1, 1–50.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CA 92697-3875
E-mail address: cterng@math.uci.edu

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, TX 78712-0257
E-mail address: ewang@math.utexas.edu